AACSB ACCREDITATION: A RELIABLE AUTHORITY ON ACADEMIC QUALITY?*

Chauncey M. DePree, Jr., DBA
Professor
School of Accountancy
College of Business
University of Southern Mississippi
Hattiesburg, MS, USA 39406

ABSTRACT

During preparations for reaccreditation, a colleague noticed that the College Accreditation Committee represented other Colleges' documents as their own. He consulted several faculty. They advised the Dean and Committee that the documents were without attribution. The Dean and Committee ignored them. Subsequently, the Dean submitted the questionable materials to the Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB) during reaccreditation. After internal efforts to discuss the documents failed, faculty informed the AACSB that the documents were copied "without proper citation." The actions of the AACSB inform their constituency and public of a neglected dimension of accreditation: What does the AACSB do when challenged with evidence of alleged violations of its standards? Is the AACSB a reliable authority on academic quality? The evidence in this report supports that the AACSB did not persuade an accredited member to follow its standards and was not, therefore, a reliable authority on academic quality. And the subject of the AACSB's failure was an accredited College of Business that chose to violate its own code of integrity and compounded its failures by punishing faculty who asked questions.

Key words: Accreditation; AACSB (Assembly to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business); Failure of Academic Quality and Integrity.

*An earlier version of this paper was accepted by and presented at the 2008 Annual Meeting of the American Accounting Association.

Dr. Chauncey M. DePree, Jr., is a professor at the School of Accountancy, College of Business, University of Southern Mississippi and a member of the American Accounting Association, Decision Sciences Institute, and Institute of Management Accountants. His publications include ABACUS, Business and Professional Ethics Journal, Issues in Accounting Education, Journal of Accountancy, Journal of Accounting and Public Policy, and Strategic Finance (and Management Accounting). His education includes a DBA from the University of Kentucky (UK) in Accounting with minor in Ethics and Logic. MS from UK in Accounting. BA from the State University of New York at Albany, Philosophy.

The following names of individuals appear in this report and are listed in the order found in the report. They earned their places through their choices and actions. Their contribution to harming academic freedom is for the reader to assess. Most are professors and administrators who have been observed bemoaning academic misconduct of colleagues or students but when it came time for them to act with integrity, they chose to oppose it or stand by and watch its corruption.

University of Southern Mississippi George Carter, Chairman, Accreditation Committee Harold Doty, College of Business Dean John Harsh, USM Ombudsman Cecil Burge, Vice-President for Research Rebecca Woodrick, EEOC Officer **Provost Myron Henry, Faculty Senator Professor Stephen Judd, Faculty Senator** D. Joe Olmi, AAUP Officer **Shelby Thames, President, USM Jay Grimes, Provost** Laurie Babin, Marketing Professor Rod Posey, Director, School of Accountancy Stan Lewis, Director, School of Accountancy Lance Nail, current Dean Skip Hughes, current Director, School of Accountancy Martha Saunders, President, USM Alvin Williams, Interim Dean **Charles Jordan, Accounting Professor**

Central Missouri State University

Joan Mansfield, Dean, Harmon College of Business Administration

Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business

Jerry Trapnell, Executive Vice-President and Chief Accreditation Officer
Richard A. Cosier, Purdue University

Ted Cummings, Chairman of AACSB Visitation Team

C. Edward Arrington, Vice Chairman of AACSB Visitation Team

AACSB ACCREDITATION: A RELIABLE AUTHORITY ON ACADEMIC QUALTITY? is serialized in the following Parts.

PART A

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Research Method
- 3. Hypothesis and Research Method

PART B

4. College and University: Alternative Perspectives and Evidence

PART C

4.1 What is Plagiarism?

PART D

4.2 Ombudsman, Vice President for Research, EEOC, Provost, President, et al.

PART E

4.3 The College Expert

PART F

5. The AACSB: Alternative Perspectives and Evidence

PART G

5.1 Colleagues' Expectations

PART H

5.2 Alleged Standards Violations

PART I

5.3 Secrecy

PART J

5.4 The Academic Integrity Policy

PART K

5.5 Plagiarism Aided and Abetted by a Failure to Investigate

PART L

6. Discussion

PART M

6.1 Plagiarism is Still an Open Question at USM

PART N

6.2 Limitations

7. Conclusions

PART O

Post Script

References

Appendices 1 and 2